UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/649,397	08/27/2003	James DeFrancesco	DLT-001DIV1	6100
51414 GOODWIN PR	7590 10/30/200 OCTER LLP	EXAMINER		
PATENT ADM		FELTEN, DANIEL S		
53 STATE STREET EXCHANGE PLACE BOSTON, MA 02109-2881			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			3696	
			NOTIFICATION DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			10/30/2009	ELECTRONIC

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Notice of the Office communication was sent electronically on above-indicated "Notification Date" to the following e-mail address(es):

PatentBos@goodwinprocter.com hmcpeake@goodwinprocter.com glenn.williams@goodwinprocter.com

	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
	10/649,397	DEFRANCESCO ET AL.				
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
	DANIEL S. FELTEN	3696				
The MAILING DATE of this communication app	ears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence address				
Period for Reply						
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DA - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period w - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION 36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tim vill apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from cause the application to become ABANDONE	N. nely filed the mailing date of this communication. D (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
Status						
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>01 Ju</u>	ılv 2009					
•	action is non-final.					
· <u> </u>						
closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.						
Disposition of Claims						
4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-12,19,20,23 and 24</u> is/are pending in the application.						
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.						
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.						
6) Claim(s) <u>1-12, 19, 20, 23, 24</u> is/are rejected.						
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.	7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.					
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or	r election requirement.					
Application Papers						
9) The specification is objected to by the Examine	r.					
10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) ☐ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner.						
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).						
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct	ion is required if the drawing(s) is obj	ected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).				
11)☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex	aminer. Note the attached Office	Action or form PTO-152.				
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119						
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).						
a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.						
2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No						
3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage						
application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).						
* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.						
	·					
Attachment(s)						
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) Interview Summary					
2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)	Paper No(s)/Mail Da 5) Notice of Informal P					
Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date	6) Other:	αιστι πρριισαιιστι				

Application/Control Number: 10/649,397 Page 2

Art Unit: 3696

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 1. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 2. Claims 1-12, 19, 20, 23 and 24 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over DYKSTRA et al (US 5,611,052) in view of "Department Store Reconfigures Decision Process," Credit Risk Management Reposrt, v2, no. 20 (September 28,1992) (hereinafter "MERVYN")

Re claims 1, 4, 5, 19, 23 and 24: DYKSTRA disclose a computer to generate a database from which statistical information concerning acceptance and/or rejection of credit applications by funding sources can be derived (see Abstract), said program product comprising:

means for providing a database (fig. 2A) (Loan Application Database--108);

means for receiving a credit application from at least one remote application input location, said credit application containing application data;

means for saving said application in said database (fig. 2A) (108);

means for selectively forwarding said received credit application to one or more funding sources(fig. 2A)(Display to Merchant Lender Decision-116);

Art Unit: 3696

means for receiving a funding decision from said one or more funding sources(fig. 2A)(Display to Merchant Lender Decision-116), said

funding decision containing funding source data (fig. 2A) (Approval or Referral—118); and means for storing said funding source data in said database (see DYKSTRA, column 4, lines 12 to column 5, line 12).

Re claim 2-8: DYKSTRA discloses a scoring model based upon lender load criteria (see figs 2A-D, esp. Fig. 2D, column 6, lines 4-42). Although the scoring model may suggest at some statistical analysis, DYKSTRA fails to disclose further comprising means for selectively providing statistical data regarding credit application processing. MERVYN discloses a credit application processing system (ACAPS), which providing statistical data regarding credit application (see ACAPS performing prescreens and weeding out credit applications that fail to make minimum criteria). It would have been obvious to integrate the ACAPS of MERVYN to the system of DYKSTRA such a modification using the conventional ACAPS of MERVYN would have yielded predictable results of providing credit/loan application under customized lender criteria and thus resulted in an improved system. It would have been recognized that applying the technique of MERVYN to the teaching of DYKSTRA would have yielded predictable results because the level of ordinary skill in the art demonstrated by the references applied shows the ability to incorporate such systems based upon a set of preset criteria. Further, applying he ACAPS to DYKSTRA would have been recognized by one of ordinary skill in the art as resulting in an improved system that would allow further variations in the selection of a particular application.

Application/Control Number: 10/649,397 Page 4

Art Unit: 3696

Allowable Subject Matter

3. The indicated allowability of claims 9-12 is withdrawn in view of cited reference(s) above.

Conclusion

4. Applicant's submission of an information disclosure statement under 37 CFR 1.97(c) with the fee set forth in 37 CFR 1.17(p) on 8/17/2009 prompted the new ground(s) of rejection presented in this Office action. Accordingly, **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL**. See MPEP § 609.04(b). Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of this final action.

Application/Control Number: 10/649,397 Page 5

Art Unit: 3696

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to DANIEL S. FELTEN whose telephone number is (571)272-6742.

The examiner can normally be reached on Flex.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Kramer James can be reached on (571) 272-6783. The fax phone number for the

organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent

Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications

may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished

applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR

system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR

system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would

like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated

information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

Daniel S Felten Primary Examiner Art Unit 3696

/Daniel S Felten/ Primary Examiner, Art Unit 3696